


Petitioner wish to file a § 2254 habeas petition in the future, he must specify grounds for relief and state the facts that support each ground for relief or risk having his habeas petition dismissed a second time for failing to supply enough information to survive initial review.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that:

1. The Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (Doc. No. 1) is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**;
2. Petitioner's Motion to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. No. 2) is **GRANTED** for the limited purpose of this Court's review;
3. Pursuant to Rule 11(a) of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, the Court declines to issue a certificate of appealability as Petitioner has not made a substantial showing of a denial of a constitutional right. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003) (in order to satisfy § 2253(c), a petitioner must demonstrate that reasonable jurists would find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong); Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 474, 484 (2000) (holding that when relief is denied on procedural grounds, a petitioner must establish both that the correctness of the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatably valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right).

Signed: May 31, 2017


Frank D. Whitney
Chief United States District Judge

